





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,707	01/24/2002	Thomas H. Gilman	30056/37566	2074
4743	7590 10/03/2003	Γ	EXAMINER	
MARSHALI 6300 SEARS	L, GERSTEIN & BOI	UN LLP	LEWIS, KIM M	
233 S. WACK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60606		3761	<u>-</u> .
		Da	ATE MAILED: 10/03/200	3 2
				\sim

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				()
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	:
		10/056,707	GILMAN, THOMAS H.	:
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kim M. Lewis	3761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the covershet	with the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nosions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) My y statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	:
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 28 April 2003		
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal m		; ;
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	:
· _	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			*
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	and/or election requirement.		
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2002	is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ol	ojected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	:
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.		:
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		:
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			:
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			;
* ;	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for action fo	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
	a) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	- -		
Attachmer	· ·	-		:
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Detailed Action .	:
S Datent and	Trademark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 4/28/03 has been received and made of record in the application file wrapper. Note the acknowledged form PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 1, the reference character "W", which represents an incision has a lead line directed to the side of the dressing, not the incision. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the legal word "said" in lines 5 and 8-10. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,571,080 ("Jensen") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,074,965 ("Bodenchatz et al.").

As regards claims 1, 2, 7 and 12, Jensen substantially discloses the claimed invention. More specifically, Jensen discloses a surgical dressing and an adhesive composition. The dressing comprises a backing layer having secured thereto a hydrocolloid adhesive layer. Both the backing and adhesive layers are constructed from the same materials as those of the instant invention. As such, the dressing has the same properties (e.g., liquid and pathogen impermeable, transparency, stretchable and contractible). Jensen fails to teach a plurality of flexible but substantially non-stretchable reinforcing members secured to and extending in spaced relation across the dressing.

Martz, however, teaches it is conventional in the art to add fiber/filament/strip reinforcement members constructed from generally known flexible, non-stretchable

Application/Control Number: 10/056,707

Art Unit: 3761

material to a support in order to impart stiffness thereto. The addition of such will automatically control the stretch of the support material.

In view of Martz, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Jensen with the addition of a plurality of parallel spaced reinforcing members in order to reduce stretch in the dressing.

As regards claim 3, the examiner contends that the filaments are arranged in spaced parallel groups (see marked-up Fig. 6).

As regards claims 4 and 8, the filaments extend in parallel relation along substantially the same plane.

As regards claims 5, 9, and 11, Martz discloses that the reinforcing members may be embedded into a support, fused to a surface of the support, or adhesively secured to the support material. As such, the modified dressing of Jensen may have the fibers/strips secured to the any side of the backing layer, *i.e.*, adjacent the adhesive layer or opposite the adhesive layer. As to claims 6 and 10, if embedded in the backing layer, the reinforcing members extend between the backing layer and the adhesive layer.

As regards claim 13, note the abstract of Jensen, which discusses the hydrocolloid particles in an adhesive matrix. Also, note col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 23.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is 703.308.1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703.308.1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.3590 for regular communications and 703.305.3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0858.

Kim M. Lewis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

kml September 30, 2003